

Lewes District *Local Plan*



SUMMARY REPORT AND DATA RELEASE
May 2022



Lewes District Council
Issues & Options
Summary Report and Data Release

May 2022

Table of Contents

1. About this report	3
2. About Issues & Options consultation	3
3. Methodology	4
4. Summary of Responses	5
5. Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report	11
6. Conclusion and next steps.....	11
7. Appendix.....	13

1. About this report

- 1.1. The Lewes District Local Plan Part 1 was adopted in 2016 and Part 2: Site allocations and development management policies in 2020. In 2018 the Government introduced a requirement for all local planning authorities to review and update their local plans every five years, where necessary. This update was considered necessary because of changes in national planning policy requirements.
- 1.2. The new Local Plan will set out a new spatial strategy for development for the whole of Lewes District excluding the area covered by the South Downs National Park. The new Local Plan will set out where and how new development will take place over the period 2020 to 2040.
- 1.3. The purpose of this report is to provide a short, quantitative data summary to the accompanying dataset release. In seeking transparency and greater participation in preparing the new local plan we are releasing the information received from the Issues and Options consultation. The Issues and Options consultation responses will form part of the local plan evidence base, which we are in the process of preparing. Other technical evidence being developed includes, amongst others: the housing needs assessment, economic needs assessment, strategic flood risk assessment, transport studies, rural settlement study, Biodiversity Net Gain and the Habitats Regulations Assessment.
- 1.4. It is important to note that we have not assessed or analysed the consultation comments received. We will be assessing all the information received and respond to the consultation comments as the Local Plan develops.

2. About Issues & Options consultation

- 2.1. The Issues and Options stage of the plan making process is the first opportunity for the community and key stakeholders to comment on the issues that the new local plan should address, and the options that could be pursued to tackle these issues.
- 2.2. The [Issues and Options](#) consultation was carried out over an 8-week period from 9 July to 3 September 2021. The consultation was published on the Council's Planning Policy Consultation website and invited comments on the main consultation 'document'. We also consulted on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report at the same time.
- 2.3. The consultation was undertaken in line with the Council' Statement of Community Involvement (here). There are 2546 organisations and individuals registered on the consultation database. Among the stakeholders registered on the database are all 'specific consultation bodies' that must be consulted at formal consultation stages.

- 2.4. A total of 4,104 submissions from individuals, organisations, businesses and community groups, amounting to 42,907 representations (individual answer to a question), were received in response to the consultation.
- 2.5. To help understand who was responding to the consultation and what it's reach was, all respondents were also asked to identify their stakeholder type. Of those who provided this information, stakeholders identifying as 'members of the public' comprised 3,710 of the total respondents (2,231 residing within the district, 1,479 residing elsewhere). There was also national and regional interest, with comments received from 7 national groups/ organisations and 15 neighbouring districts/ parishes. 33 local businesses/ employers and 29 local groups/ organisations also responded to the consultation.

3. Methodology

- 3.1. This section of the report details the methods used to collect and process the data contained in the accompanying excel dataset release, while the following section provides a summary for some of the consultation questions.
- 3.2. The majority of consultation responses were received online. A small number (approximately 100) were also received via email and post; some followed the questionnaire format whilst others provided comments in an open text format. Where possible, officers inserted the open text format responses into the consultation software at the most relevant questions.
- 3.3. The system was used to then generate a Microsoft Excel format of all the representations received for the purposes of keeping a complete record that can be analysed for plan-making. Each representation can be identified by a Unique Reference Number (URN) and each submission also has a unique ID number. Representations to each individual consultation question are arranged on a separate tab in the Excel dataset.
- 3.4. Officers then processed the representations and removed blank representations ie. those where there was no text in the representation. However, any 'incomplete' representations (where information is incomplete, or the respondent did not formally submit their response by clicking the submit button on the system are included for analysis purposes. For the purpose of plan-making and responding to the issues raised in the consultation, **it is the content of the representations, and not the amount of representations that will be evaluated.**
- 3.5. The excel dataset released alongside this report has been processed and redacted in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA), General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Council's Data Protection

Policy – which can be viewed here. Redacted data within the excel spreadsheet can be identified by the following key: [XXXX].

4. Summary of Responses

4.1. This section of the report is a summary of the responses to the Issues and Options Consultation and seeks to highlight the issues common within the responses to the consultation themes. It is not a comprehensive analysis of all the consultation questions and representations, nor should it be seen as the council's response to the consultation. The excel data release accompanying this report details all the individual responses received to each question, whilst Appendix 1 provides information on the number of responses to each question.

Issue 1: Tackling Climate Change

4.2. The consultation asked for views on how the new Local Plan could mitigate, and adapt to the effects of, climate change. The section asked 15 questions covering a number of options under issue 1 and out of the total number of representations 32% were received on this issue.

4.3. Questions 1.1 and 1.2 focussed on decarbonising a buildings life cycle. Responses indicated a preference to pursue lower carbon emissions targets for new homes and buildings, with comments to question 1.1 prioritising: better accessibility, energy sensitive design (orientation, heat pumps, grey water, insulation etc), redeveloping brownfield sites, re-using existing and retrofitting older buildings as solutions to support decarbonisation.

4.4. In response to question 1.2, 96% of representations received selected at least one of the three listed options on the circular economy requirements from all development proposals. While 85% of those representations selected all three options¹ relating to decarbonising a buildings lifecycle, Option 1 received marginally more comments than the other two options.

4.5. Questions 1.3 and 1.4 posed options for renewable energy generation on new development within the district; 81% of the representations showed support for small scale renewable energy generation on all new development, with 82% of the respondents supporting all large-scale developments to require consideration of community scale renewable energy generation.

¹ The 3 options were: 1) requiring retention of existing buildings unless evidence of need to demolish? 2) Evidence the use of material and construction techniques that have a small ecological footprint and 3) consider the lifecycle of the building and whether it can be easily adapted to meet changing needs?

- 4.6. Questions 1.6 to 1.8 centred around green and blue infrastructure, with a specific focus on tree planting and re-wilding to the goal of improving bio-diversity resilience, carbon capture and water storage. The three questions garnered 87%, 89% and 94% support respectively, with respondents indicating a preference for requirements for tree planting in new development, allocating land for tree planting or woodland expansion, and for the identification of green and blue infrastructure assets to improve bio-diversity resilience, carbon capture and storage of water.
- 4.7. A general comment regarding the feasibility of the options, or that no new development should be occurring within the district is also noticeable within the representations to this issue, particularly from those not in support or were non-committal to the options provided.

Issue 2: Protecting and Enhancing the Quality of the Environment

- 4.8. Several options regarding how the new Local Plan can protect and enhance the quality of the environment were the main focus of issue 2. Under this section, 8 questions covering both the natural and built environment asked for views and comments and out of the total number of representations 13% were received on this issue.
- 4.9. The question on whether the new local plan should require a 20% biodiversity net gain in all major developments garnered 84% support from respondents. The Environment Bill, which received royal ascent on 9th November 2021, stipulates that by Winter 2023 new development must provide 10% biodiversity net gain. As such, there is support from the consultation responses for the new local plan to consider policies which set a biodiversity net gain above national requirement.
- 4.10. Question 2.4 asked respondents what would enhance their town/ village. Common themes in the responses suggest: better public transport, better active travel infrastructure, more affordable housing across the district, better access to amenities and a reduction in traffic.
- 4.11. Question 2.5 asked respondents to state what they considered to be beautiful in terms of development. Responses to this question suggested using locally sourced natural materials, design in keeping with local area including modern design that blends well, developments that harmonise with the natural environment, developments that have good quality green space and that support and create sustainable communities.
- 4.12. Question 2.6 asked whether the council should prepare specific design codes that cover the whole plan area, specific parts of the plan area, or for individual sites. There were 638 representations against this question. One fifth of the representations say design codes should be site-specific,

another fifth of the representations consider it should be for the whole plan area. Apart from these almost a fifth of the representations argued that there should be a combination of design codes.

Issue 3: Accommodating and Delivering Growth

4.13. Issue 3 was the issue How the new Local Plan can deliver new development within Lewes District and the spatial options for delivering such growth were explored under issue 3 and comments were invited through the two questions and out of the total number of representations 8% were received on this issue. Question 3.1 asked “Are there any options for accommodating development growth that the Council has missed?” Out of the 609 responses to this question, 452 respondents commented that the Council had missed out other options.

4.14. Options put forward as missing from the consultation and which the council had not included: further work and discussions with neighbouring local authorities on the potential housing requirement including the evidence underpinning the suggested split of housing between Lewes and the South Downs National Park. Several responses questioned the assumptions used in determining housing need by Central Government as being out of date or incorrect. Prioritising development on brownfield sites and protecting greenfield sites was a key theme, along with utilising infill development. There were also suggestions to add to existing settlements rather than create new ones, bring vacant buildings back into use, create higher density developments and “build up.”

4.15. Question 3.2 set out six options for distributing growth across the district. Respondents were able to select more than one option. A considerable number of respondents also selected multiple options, but we are unable to rank these in order from their responses. However, looking at each option on its own, the “Intensification of Coastal Towns” was the most selected option. The “Outward expansion of Newhaven and Peacehaven” was the second most selected, closely followed by “Extensions to Burgess Hill and Haywards Heath.” The prospect of a “New settlement within the Low Weald” was the least preferred.

4.16. As with all the sections of the consultation respondents had the opportunity to provide general comments on the issue in addition to the set questions. General comments overwhelmingly did not support Option 6, (a new settlement or development in the Low Weald).

Issue 4: Improving Access to Housing

4.17. Issue 4 of the Issues and Options document focussed on improving access to housing and meeting housing needs for different people. This issue had 10 consultation questions and out of the total number of representations 15% were received on this issue. This includes affordable

housing, starter homes for first time buyers, housing suitable for the elderly and the disabled and for self-build. It also considers the mix of housing that should be developed, such as the type of property, the number of bedrooms, nationally prescribed minimum space standards and housing for different accessibility needs.

4.18. Question 4.1 asked “Should the Local Plan seek the maximum viable affordable housing target on sites with 10 or more dwellings?” This question was answered 1041 times, gaining the support of 793 of responses. Approximately 5% of responses supported a higher provision of affordable housing. Approximately 8% of respondents did not think that the maximum affordable housing should be sought.

4.19. Question 4.4 asked are there any other issues the Local Plan should be considering?” The majority of responses were mixed across several issues, but a number of responses highlighted that the availability of appropriate infrastructure such as accessible public transport, schools, shops, and fast broadband needs to be part of any development for growth in a Local Plan.

4.20. Question 4.6 asked how the Local Plan could be more responsive to the needs of younger households, older people and custom/self-builders. A fifth (136 out of 642) of the responses suggested spreading all development sites in different geographical locations. 10% (74 out of 642) suggested building affordable, smaller and council houses for these groups. There were 39 responses who refer to self-build and suggest that this should be encouraged.

4.21. Overall, just over 60% of responses who agreed that the Local Plan should require all new homes to meet the nationally described space standards, with nearly 20% suggesting that space standards should be higher than national standards in the district.

Issue 5: Promoting a Prosperous Economy and Building Community Wealth

4.22. Issue 5 asked 16 questions on the types of land and premises for future employment in towns, the rural economy, retail, and tourism and out of the total number of representations 14% were received on this issue. Responses to each question numbered between 230 and 497.

4.23. Question 5.1 asked “How much employment development is required to support a sustainable economy?”, 17% of the answers stated that this should be based on evidenced needs. Furthermore, there is a mix of comments with suggestions on where to develop (towns, existing employment areas, close to transport hubs), what kind of jobs (farming, sustainable economy jobs, local employment, local services) and the

difficulty to predict (due to Brexit, Covid, homeworking, market is indecisive). 5% of the responses to the answer states that there should not be more employment development.

- 4.24. Question 5.2 asked 'What type of employment space should the new Local Plan prioritise?' The responses overall highlighted the changes to employment space and use, because of the Covid-19 pandemic. 17% states this should be a mix and 6% specify this mix should be small co-working spaces and more local and responsive to the changes to increased home working. Comments also recognised the opportunities to encourage future green based and sustainable jobs.
- 4.25. On the questions about space for retail (question 5.5 and 5.6) almost half of the responses acknowledge that there is no or little need for extra retail space, due to the change in the retail sector and the move to shopping online. Instead, independent, local, and small shops should be protected and other uses such as work hubs, fitness facilities and repair cafes should be encouraged to rejuvenate town centres. In the smaller settlements local shops should be protected. New retail centres outside the town centres are not supported as there are concerns that these could undermine the viability of existing retail & centres.
- 4.26. Question 5.8 asks how the visitor offer can be enhanced. A third of the respondents said that tourism should be kept at the actual level, while 2/3 of the respondents give various suggestions to facilitate and promote tourism. Overall, most responses indicated that villages and the green space are the main attraction and should be protected. Other responses mention improvement of foot/cycle paths and sustainable travel, facilities at the coast and potentially developing planning policies to support permission for holiday lets. Some respondents' highlight that growth of tourism must not be at the expense of housing availability for local people.
- 4.27. On a specific question about the regeneration of Newhaven (5.12) almost 10% of the respondents are positive about the transformation that has already started, and this should be promoted further. Others mention the port, the town centre, the ring road and that water quality and beaches should be improved.

Issue 6: Creating Healthy, Sustainable Communities with Infrastructure

- 4.28. Issue 6 asked 10 questions on health and creating sustainable communities and out of the total number of representations 12% were received on this issue. The questions about prioritisation of type of infrastructure were answered by an average of 950 respondents. Other

topics raised in this section were: Health Impact Assessment, Active Design and 10–20-minute neighbourhood which all attracted an average of 400 responses.

- 4.29. The first question on prioritisation of types of infrastructure consisted of ranking affordable housing, overall design, and other infrastructure requirements. A third of the representations prioritised affordable housing as another third prioritising higher overall design standards. A fourth of the respondents stated that both are essential.
- 4.30. The second question on types of infrastructure asked respondents to prioritise between carbon reduction design, accessible adaptable homes, and strategic infrastructure. Almost half of the respondents preferred carbon reduction design and renewable energy infrastructure. A third preferred strategic infrastructure and the rest either choose the option of accessible homes or did not choose an option at all.
- 4.31. Two thirds of the responses are in favour of requiring a Health Impact Assessment for larger developments and incorporation of Active Design Principles in strategic housing site allocations, some of them also want it for all developments.
- 4.32. Half of the responses on the topic on 10–20-minute neighbourhood agree that these principles should be adopted by the new Local Plan. Half of those in favour state that the principles should be applied differently in towns compared to villages.

General consultation questions

- 4.33. We asked 5 ‘non-issue’ related questions concerning the consultation as a whole and out of the total number of representations 5% were received to these issues.
- 4.34. One third of the responses stated that they believe the right issues were identified. Almost 25% of the responses highlighted that transport had been missed as one of the key issues, and almost 20% referred to the new settlement and objected as to why this is suggested as an option for growth.
- 4.35. A fifth of those referred to issues raised in the consultation, but they considered more emphasis was needed in terms of climate change, brownfield development, biodiversity, affordable housing, cycle paths and tourist accommodation.
- 4.36. There were issues also raised about the plan preparation process:
- Consultation of young people should be a priority and that strong links should be made with County Council, Town &

Parish Councils, South Downs National Park and the importance of complying with the / Duty to Cooperate

- Insufficient attention had been given to the existing adopted Local Plan.
- The Local Plan review must consider longer strategic timescales because of the delivery timescales of new settlements which potentially go beyond the proposed plan period.
- There is a risk that list of policy requirements will make development unviable.

5. Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

5.1. Alongside the Issues and Options consultation, we also carried out of Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report consultation. Through this we asked six questions. The comments to these are also included in the Excel dataset release.

5.2. While these did not attract the same volume of comments, these will be analysed separately to the issues and options representation and feed into the next version of the Sustainability Appraisal accompanying the plan-making process.

5.3. We received 54 comments from 6 respondents.

Section of the Scoping Report	Number of comments
PPPSI	6
Baseline	9
Sustainability Issues	13
Sustainability appraisal Framework	15
Sustainability Appraisal Method	3
Other comments	8

6. Conclusion and next steps

6.1. The issues and Options consultation is the first step in preparing the new Local Plan. It is an opportunity for the council to seek views on the issues and the options that will be covered within the local plan.

- 6.2. With the publication of this report and data release, as well as the earlier publication of the interim Land Availability Assessment, we are aiming to provide as much information as possible to our communities and stakeholders in preparing the local plan. It should mean that not only is the plan-making process more inclusive, but also that the evidence and information that informs it is better visible to all our stakeholders.
- 6.3. We will over the course of 2022 continue to develop our evidence base for the local plan and the [local plan timetable](#) is available on the council's website.

7. Appendix

Appendix 1- Issues and Options Consultation – Breakdown of Representations per question

a. Issue 1: Tackling Climate Change

Question Number	Question text	Total number of representations
1.1	How can the new Local Plan best promote energy efficiency in new development and the Council's ambition of net zero carbon? Should the new Local Plan work towards setting lower carbon emissions targets for new homes/buildings than those set out in Building Regulations?	1036
1.2	Should the new Local Plan require all development proposals to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide evidence of circular economy principles and waste reduction – requiring retention of existing buildings unless evidence of need to demolish? • Evidence reductions in carbon by prioritising the use of materials and construction techniques that have smaller ecological and carbon footprints? • Consider the lifecycle of the building and whether it can be easily adapted to meet changing needs? 	1193
1.3	Should the new Local Plan require all new development to include small-scale renewable energy generation on site?	1042
1.4	Should the new Local Plan require all large-scale developments to consider community scale renewable energy generation?	1041
1.5	Should the new Local Plan allocate land for large scale renewable energy generation, such as wind and solar? If so what types? Or should the Council rely on Neighbourhood Plans to identify suitable sites for renewables to reflect local community aspirations?	935
1.6	Having read the information above, should the new Local Plan set out more specific requirements for tree planting in new development?	1062
1.7	Should the new Local Plan allocate land for tree planting and/or woodland expansion?	1106

1.8	Should the new Local Plan identify critical corridors, perhaps based upon water courses, for potential planting of trees and/or other types of rewilding such as heritage grassland, which would enable storage of water, carbon storage and improved wildlife movement and biodiversity resilience?	1098
1.9	Should the new Local Plan introduce a policy supporting food growing? Should the Local Plan require all major housing developments to provide food growing space?	1017
1.10	Should the new Local Plan support the Council's Climate Change and Sustainability Strategy more stringent (100l) target for water consumption in new buildings or go further?	858
1.11	To encourage modal shift, should the new Local Plan require EVCP at all new development and should that be at the level in the Councils EVCP TAN?	649
1.12	In order to encourage the use of more sustainable modes of transport should the new Local Plan set higher cycle parking requirements for new residential development than current East Sussex County Council standards?	887
1.13	What else can be done through the new Local Plan to encourage a modal shift towards more sustainable modes of travel?	823
1.14	Should a Coastal Change Management Area(s) be defined where the SMP has already identified physical changes to the shoreline?	569
1.15	Are there any other issues that should be considered?	408

Issue 2: Protecting and Enhancing the Quality of the Environment

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
2.1	Should we require a 20% biodiversity net gain in all major developments?	942
2.2	Should the new Local Plan seek to identify/allocate sites to provide off-site biodiversity net gain?	780
2.3	How can the new Local Plan best achieve an effective policy for a Nature Recovery Network?	591
2.4	In the context of the new Local Plan what would enhance your town / village?	747
2.5	And what would you consider to be 'beautiful' in terms of development?	862

2.6	Should the Council prepare specific design codes that cover the whole plan area, specific parts of the plan area, or for individual sites?	639
2.7	How can communities have a greater say in the design standards set for their area?	677
2.8	Are there additional areas that should be investigated for potential Conservation Areas?	505

Issue 3: Accommodating and Delivering Growth

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
3.1	Are there any options the Council has missed?	609
3.2	<p>What is your preferred option, or combination of options?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensification of development within the coastal towns • Further outward expansion of Newhaven and Peacehaven • Urban extensions to Burgess Hill and Haywards Heath • Focussing growth on the most sustainable villages in the Low Weald • Dispersing growth across all villages in the Low Weald • A new settlement within the Low Weald 	2798

Issue 4: Improving Access to Housing

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
4.1	Should the new Local Plan seek the maximum viable affordable housing target on sites of 10 or more dwellings?	1041
4.2	Should the new Local Plan maintain the Council's preference for an affordable housing tenure split of 75% affordable rented homes ³ and 25% intermediate homes, or should it pursue a different approach?	751
4.3	Should the new Local Plan have a locally specific approach to supporting the development of 'First Home exception sites' (as it currently has for rural exception sites) or should the Council rely on national planning policy?	664

4.4	Are there any other issues that the new Local Plan should be considering?	523
4.5	Should the new Local Plan go beyond the mandatory building regulations to ensure that a proportion of new homes are accessible and adaptable? In what circumstances should this be applied?	565
4.6	How can the new Local Plan be more responsive to the needs of younger households, older people and custom/self-builders?	642
4.7	Should the new Local Plan ensure that a proportion of new homes are one- or two-bedroom dwellings that are more affordable for first time buyers? In what circumstances should this be applied?	727
4.8	Should the new Local Plan require all new homes to meet the nationally described space standard?	687
4.9	Should the Council be applying minimum density standards across the whole plan area, or only applying them in specific locations such as town centres, train stations, and routes with reliable and frequent bus services, e.g. A259?	669
4.10	Are there any other issues that the Council should be considering?	381

Issue 5: Promoting a Prosperous Economy and Building Community Wealth

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
5.1	How much employment development is required to support a sustainable economy?	426
5.2	What type of employment space should the new Local Plan prioritise?	468
5.3	How can policies be made flexible enough to accommodate needs not anticipated, particularly as economic implications of the Covid-19 pandemic are unknown?	310
5.4	How can the new Local Plan best meet the needs of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises?	331
5.5	How much additional retail and space for town centre uses is required to support a sustainable economy?	392
5.6	What type of space should the new Local Plan prioritise?	404
5.7	How should the new Local Plan address the provision of small-scale retail uses, for example	438

	convenience shops, outside of designated town centres?	
5.8	How can the new Local Plan help enhance the visitor offer?	424
5.9	Should the new Local Plan identify new development to facilitate tourism growth, and if so what and where?	374
5.10	Should the new Local Plan seek to protect facilities and attractions that could be critical to sustaining the visitor economy?	470
5.11	What else can the new Local Plan specifically do to support the rural economy?	414
5.12	How can the new Local Plan help support Newhaven as a key strategic asset for the local economy and help promote the regeneration of the town?	404
5.13	Should the new Local Plan focus provision for new employment space within the towns or the countryside?	497
5.14	How can the new Local Plan support the vitality and viability of town and village centres in light of changes to permitted development rights?	275
5.15	How can the new Local Plan protect the employment space, and where should the focus of that protection be?	230
5.16	Should the approach to local labour be a blanket approach for the plan area, or should it focus on specific locations? We welcome comments from developers as to how.	248

Issue 6: Creating Healthy, Sustainable Communities with Infrastructure

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
6.1	On what types of infrastructure should the Council prioritise developer contributions? Should the Council require: A greater proportion of affordable housing but lower overall design and other infrastructure requirements; or A lower proportion of affordable housing but higher overall design standards and other infrastructure requirements?	938
6.2	Whether you prefer Option (a) or (b), what do you think is more important out of the following: Enhanced carbon reduction design/renewable energy infrastructure?	999

	Accessible, adaptable or fully wheelchair accessible homes? Strategic infrastructure (school places, transport improvements, sports facilities, etc.)?	
6.3	Should the new Local Plan introduce specific benchmark standards for the provision of other open spaces, in addition to the existing standards for outdoor playing space? If so, which types of open space should be addressed?	464
6.4	Should the new Local Plan require Health Impact Assessments for larger development proposals? How should the threshold be determined?	398
6.5	Should strategic housing site allocations (100+ dwellings) incorporate Active Design principles?	388
6.6	Should the 10–20-minute neighbourhood concept be adopted by the new Local Plan?	453
6.7	If so, should the 10–20-minute neighbourhood principles be applied differently in towns compared to villages within the plan area?	337
6.8	Should the 10–20-minute neighbourhood principles be a requirement of all major new developments, or only on strategic housing site allocations?	355
6.9	Should new development be required make provision for a superfast broadband connection, or an equivalent alternative technology, installed on an open access basis?	489
6.10	If so, should this policy apply to only residential, or to both residential and non-residential, development?	430

Extra questions

Question Number	Question	Total number of representations
1	Has the Council identified the right issues?	454
2	Has the Council missed anything?	439
3	Will the information the Council is collecting tell us what we need to know?	317
4	What are your views on the Council's current strategic planning policies and the decisions they lead to?	347
5	What do you feel needs changing and what shouldn't change?	470